

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/699,967	GLOMBIK ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ganapathy Krishnan	1623

All Participants:

(1) Ganapathy Krishnan.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(2) Mr. James Bolcsak.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 29 September 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Informed the attorney of record that if the term prophylaxis is deleted from all the claims in which it appears it might put the pending claims in a condition for allowance. The attorney agreed to delete the said term and authorized an Examiner's amendment for the same..